phocyte infusions (15). Retaining the GVL effect while eliminating GVHD has been an elusive clinical goal. Additional testing is needed to determine whether CD44+CD62L−CD4+ effector memory T cells might retain GVL activity, and whether specific immunization strategies of the donor might enhance transfer of protective immunity and GVL.

Translation to clinical testing

Finally, as pointed out by the authors (5), “if these murine results are applicable to human alloSCT, selective administration of memory T cells could greatly improve post-transplant immune reconstitution.” Before this is attempted clinically, murine CD44+CD62L−CD4+ effector memory T cells still need to be tested in other strain combinations, evaluated for transfer of protective immunity, and tested for GVL potential. In addition, the immune capabilities of human CD44+CD62L−CD4+ effector memory T cells must be studied in vitro and in adoptive transfer models (i.e., in immunodeficient or humanized mice) to determine whether their behavior parallels that of murine CD44+CD62L−CD4+ T cells.

There is still much to do before we can forget about GVHD. The work of Anderson et al. (5) may be an important step toward that goal.
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Preventing pathological regression of blood vessels

Eli Keshet

Department of Molecular Biology, The Hebrew University–Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem, Israel

Oxygen administration to premature infants supresses retinal VEGF expression and results in the catastrophic vessel loss associated with retinopathy of prematurity. A study investigating the development of the retinal vasculature in mice (see related article on pages 50–57) demonstrates that specific activation of VEGF receptor-1 by placental growth factor-1 protects against oxygen-induced vessel loss without stimulating vascular proliferation and neovascularization.
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A number of human disorders are associated with obliteration of preexisting blood vessels. Microvessel rarefaction often takes place in the hypertensive lung, in the myocardium of patients with chronic renal failure, and in the elderly. Conversely, a failure to eliminate transient embryonic vasculature destined for regression may lead to a disease, as exemplified by the common congenital developmental anomaly of the eye, persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous, in which hyaloid vessels fail to regress. A striking example of a disease caused by vessel regression is retinopathy of prematurity (ROP). ROP is a blindness-causing neovascularizing disease that affects premature infants treated with high concentrations of oxygen. ROP develops in two distinct stages. First, the hypoxic insult leads to obliteration of immature retinal vessels, thereby compromising retina perfusion. The second phase, initiated upon resumption of the breathing of normal air, is an adverse compensatory neovascularization response, mediated by ischemia-induced VEGF, in which formation of new vessels is excessive, neovessels are leaky, and the inner limiting membrane of the retina is breached, allowing vessel growth into the vitreous. The later event may ultimately lead to retinal detachment and vision loss.

Protecting retinal vessels from oxygen-induced obliteration

Why are newly formed blood vessels of the retina so vulnerable to excess oxygen? Vessel regression in ROP repre-
sents an exaggeration of an otherwise natural response to oxygen surplus. Normally, the tissue responds to excess oxygen by trimming its microvasculature to the extent that oxygen supply is reset to match the metabolic requirements of the tissue. However, the vast excess of iatrogenic oxygen is misinterpreted and results in overpruning of the newly formed vascular tree. The process is mediated by VEGF acting as a vascular survival factor. Specifically, suppression of VEGF expression by hyperoxia to levels below those required for maintaining immature vessels leads to endothelial cell apoptosis (1). It was further demonstrated that vessels can indeed be rescued by exogenous VEGF compensating for the diminution of endogenous VEGF, suggesting that VEGF might be used therapeutically for preventing vessel regression in ROP (1). This proposition seemed counterintuitive, however, as VEGF is also the factor that stimulates abnormal vessel proliferation in ROP and, furthermore, VEGF might induce vascular leaks. In this issue of the JCI, Shih and coworkers have circumvented these concerns by using an agonist that exclusively activates the VEGF receptor-1 (VEGFR-1, also known as Flt-1) namely, the placental growth factor-1 (PIGF-1) (2). The authors show that PIGF-1 protects neonatal retina vessels from hyperoxia-induced obliteration without provoking retinal neovascularization or edema (2) (Figure 1).

**Figure 1**
ROP pathogenesis and suggested treatments. (a) Retina vessels in the process of their formation and progressive covering of the retina surface. (b) Hyperoxia at this formative stage suppresses VEGF and, consequently, results in regression of newly formed vessels. (c) Upon return to normal air, the ischemic retina upregulates VEGF to high levels, causing excessive formation of leaky vessels. To antagonize VEGF at this stage has been suggested as a strategy to reduce adverse vessel formation. (d) An alternative strategy proposed by Shih et al. (2) is to protect retina vessels from oxygen-induced obliteration through administration of PIGF-1.

### Differential effects of VEGF and PIGF

Unlike VEGF, which interacts with both VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 (also known as Flk-1), PIGF only binds VEGFR-1 (3). PIGF and VEGFR-1 were, until recently, neglected as potential therapeutic targets, since PIGF and the kinase activity of VEGF-R1 were found to be dispensable for blood vessel formation in the embryo (4). This situation changed with recent findings that PIGF may stimulate angiogenesis in the adult with at least a comparable efficiency to that of VEGF and, conversely, that specific inhibition of VEGFR-1 suppress neovascularization in tumors and the ischemic retina (5, 6). The question of why a PIGF/VEGFR-1 interaction may have a profound effect on pathophysiological angiogenesis but not on developmental neovascularization remains enigmatic. Several mutually nonexclusive mechanisms for the differential effects of PIGF and VEGFR-1 have been proposed. For example, the relative abundance of VEGF and PIGF may determine the pattern of receptor occupancy, and, similarly, the relative abundance of VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 may determine the nature of signals transmitted. Additionally, the relative amounts of these proteins determine the probability of making inactive PIGF/VEGF heterodimers (7) or VEGFR-1/VEGFR-2 heterodimers with altered ligand-binding properties. Other levels of complexity reside in the expression of VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2 by nonendothelial cells, a possible cross-talk between VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-1 homodimers, differential modulation of PIGF-1 and PIGF-2 by accessory neuropilin receptors, and sequestration of both PIGF and VEGF by soluble VEGFR-1 receptors (8). Thus, the integrated net effect of PIGF is likely to be contextual, depending on the exact stoichiometries of all these players. In this regard, the particular constellation present in the hyperoxic neonatal retina, i.e., suppressed production of VEGF and predominance of VEGFR-1 expression by endothelial cells (2), appears conducive to a PIGF-induced pro-survival signal but not to PIGF-induced proliferation.

The study by Shih et al. (2) highlights an emerging role of PIGF-1 as a vascular survival factor. A vasoprotective effect of PIGF against oxygen-induced degeneration of retinal vessels was also reported by Upalakalin et al. (9). Previously, the vasoprotective effect of PIGF was demonstrated in tumors (10), and its activity as an autocrine survival factor for trophoblasts was also demonstrated (11). Mechanistically, little is known regarding the signal transduction pathways that culminate in vasoprotection. In the case of the pro-survival signals elicited by VEGF, a phosphorylation of the survival kinase Akt1 and the functional significance of this reaction in inhibiting endothelial cell apoptosis have been demonstrated (12, 13). Additionally, VEGF was shown to...
upregulate expression of antiapoptotic genes like the caspase inhibitor survivin, and Bcl-2 (14, 15). For PlGF, the stress-activated protein kinase, JNK, and P38 kinase pathways were shown to be activated in trophoblasts, while the extracellular signal–regulated kinase-1 (ERK-1) and ERK-2 pathways were activated in endothelial cells (11). Also, PlGF was shown to specifically upregulate survivin (10).

**Prospects of vessel-stabilization therapy**

What are the prospects of harnessing vessel-stabilizing agents for therapy? Clearly, the case of ROP is unique, considering the defined nature of the pathogenic insult, its relatively short duration (unlike in the chronic cases of vessel rarefaction, vessels in ROP need to be protected only during the period spent in the oxygen chamber), and its predetermined onset. These characteristics, in conjunction with the facts that the vitreous is a close, immunoprivileged compartment and that the superficial retinal vessels are accessible to injected reagents, increase the likelihood of success. An alternative approach to growth factor administration is the use of mimetics that activate the relevant signal transduction pathways. This, however, must await further mechanistic insights on transduction of pro-survival signals. ROP is different from other pathologies of abnormal vessel regression in that the vessels eliminated are immature and hence VEGF dependent. Immaturity of vessels in expanding tumors may also be exploited for enforcing vessel regression via a VEGF withdrawal strategy (16). There are no clues, however, on how the rarefaction of mature vessels that are refractory to VEGF might be prevented.